**Your Name** Property No **1234567**  Date

Attn - Occupier of the Chair of Deputy Mayor SBRC - **Name** Attn - Occupier of the Chair - CEO - **Name** Attn - Occupier of the Chair - Rates Team Leader - **Name**

Thank you for your letter dated 9 April 2018. You advised me that ... ***Council considers the investigation of this matter******closed*** ... I find such a statement extraordinary since the letter was from the hand of CEO xxx. **“The Council”** is not a flesh and blood individual and is unable to think nor write. Thus the writer(s) being responsible.

**I informed both xxx and xxx in the past, I am not refusing to contribute a fair share towards the care and maintenance of the local area which is owned by the Residents.**

**I am not willing to pay the Land Tax Levy to your private ABN company xxxx while my non-income producing property has Fee Simple exemption.**

**Question** **...  Do you send Rates Notices of demand to Church properties or private Foundation properties which are land tax exempt as is my property ?**

**Question ... Are you higher than the State or Commonwealth Gov’t, or the true Constitution ?**

**I have asked for a breakdown of the cost of MAITENANCE of such items of water and sewerage, and am still waiting for explanation of why BROMIDE / SODIUM FLUORIDE is added to our water. It does not and cannot occur naturally.**

You also referred to a letter from the hand of xxx on 16 November 2017. I responded to that and will explain below, as it appears that xxx relies on some entity somewhere whom I suspect is at best, a 1st year law student with no understanding of our history and possibly has not enough logic power to ask a basic question - **If councils were a legitimate part of Gov/t** *(or a 3rd tier)* **then why was it necessary to have referendums in 1974 and 1988 at all ?**

Prior to 1900, each State in Australia had its own Constitution, and each is mostly valid to this day … except that each became subject to the Commonwealth Constitution which was approved by Referendum by the people, proclaimed and gazetted, as the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act, 1901. No part of the Commonwealth of Australian Constitution Act 1901 can be changed **except by Referendum**.
By the will of the people, The Commonwealth of Australia was established as a Constitutional Monarchy. ‘Constitutional’ because the Commonwealth of Australia was established with a written Constitution, and ‘monarchy’ because Australia’s head of state was Queen Victoria and today is her heirs and successors.

Section 61 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1901 states ... The executive power of the Commonwealth is vested in the Queen. That is Her Most Excellent Majesty, Queen Elizabeth the Second, Defender of the Faith. NOT The Queen of Australia, a fiction created by Political Parties.

**HISTORY LESSON** - The British colonies in Australia were all independent, under military law. In 1885, the independent states had interstate agreements for trade, etc under the Federal Councils of Australasia Act 1855. As free settlers began to grow in number, the People decided to unite under **one** form of government. Ten years of conventions and referendums held over 1898–1900 culminated in the Draft Constitution of the People which went to England for ratification. On July 9 1900, Queen Victoria signed the amended draft Constitution and returned it to Australia.

It was approved by the people and The Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 (UK) was the result It was brought into Australian law on 1 January 1901 and became the Founding and Primary law for all States and People, over and above anything in previous State laws.
Clause 2 is very clear -   **Act to extend to the Queen’s successors** The provisions of this Act referring to the Queen shall extend to Her Majesty’s heirs and successors in the sovereignty of the United Kingdom. The people voted to remain so in the 1999 Referendum

Clause 5 is very clear **Operation of the Constitution and laws**

This Act, and all laws made by the Parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution, shall be binding on the courts, judges, and people of every State and of every part of the Commonwealth, **notwithstanding anything in the laws of any State**; and the laws of the Commonwealth

Section 109 removes the **anything** and everything whatsoever in any State laws prior to 1900 regarding local councils being any part of government.  **Section 109** also nullifies any “**laws**” in any State contrived Local Gov’t Act” **which you seem to rely upon**, none of which have been lawfully proclaimed nor gazetted, including the Australia Act 1986. THIS IS SPELLED OUT CLEARLY IN 9a of the Acts interpretation Act 1954 and is still current. **Section 9A—Declaration of validity of certain laws** **Acts Interpretation Act 1954**[3 Eliz. 2 No. 3] of 27th April 1954, as amended up to *Criminal Law Amendment Act 2014*, No. 39 of 15th August 2014, infers that some Acts have been enacted falsely and only appear to be Acts, then the Australia Acts have no effect, and never had any effect, on the validity of any provision of any Act enacted, or purporting to have been enacted before the commencement of the Australia Acts. Attempts by the “government” to alter the constitution to recognize “local government‟ have failed TWICE. The last Referendum was held on the 3rd of September 1988. 67% of the population REJECTED the proposal for recognition of a third tier of “government”, namely, local “councils”.

Remember – We are a Constitutional Monarchy – we are not a democracy (mobocracy). A lynch mob, is a democracy - the majority wants to hang the minority. But above all is God’s law in the KJV 1611.

Thus – your statement ***... that Council considers the investigation of this matter closed ...*** also includes the last 3 letters to xxx which were ignored and remain unrebutted, so the silence and acquiescence, by law, denotes acceptance.

**Any “overdue” notice will be treated as an extortion attempt.**

**xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx**

**Further FYI** - [Extracts] Quick and Garran’s Annotations of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act
Page 346 33. “And all Laws.”
To be valid and binding they must be within the domain of jurisdiction mapped out and delimited in express terms, or by necessary implication, in the Constitution itself. What is not so granted to the Parliament of the Commonwealth is denied to it. What is not so granted is either reserved to the States, as expressed in their respective Constitutions, or remains vested but dormant in the people of the Commonwealth. The possible area of enlargement of Commonwealth power, by an amendment of the Constitution, will be considered under Chapter VIII.
“Every legislative assembly existing under a federal constitution is merely a subordinate law-making body, whose laws are of the nature of by-laws, valid whilst within the authority conferred upon it by the constitution, but invalid or unconstitutional if [P.347] they go beyond the limits of such authority.
We the people “of the Commonwealth” voted in 1988
Q 3: To alter the Constitution to recognise local government.
Q3. The referendum was NOT carried.
No States recorded a YES vote. Nationally 33.62% of electors voted YES.

Now that **you all** have information that has been enclosed in my past letters you are under misprision of treason, and I will require full names and address of xxx, xxx and xxx willingly or by High Court Order.

Oxford Dictionary of Law:-

“ **misprision** *n*. Failure to report an offence. The former crime of misprision of felony has been replaced by the crime of compounding an offence. However the common-law offence of misprision of **treason** still exists; this occurs if a person knows or reasonably suspects that someone has committed **treason** but does not inform the proper authorities within a reasonable time. The punishment for this offence is forfeiture by the offender of all his property during his lifetime. ”

FYI This has recently occurred to a Council CEO and other councillors via the High Court.

Signed ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

All Rights Reserved