WHY PAY TAX .. TO WHOM?

by Larry Hannigan

It appears that all governments since early days, have been rather



anxious to cast their tax net as widely as possible, opting for deliberate ambiguity in defining key terms in their tax legislation. The Bible and other books talk about a 10% tax or voluntary tithe on your "increase" (for genuine poor and needy)



Only nature can produce an 'increase' ... plants for food and fibres, trees for timber, animals for meat, milk, hides etc, fish etc ... 1 grain of corn can increase to 700, thus 70 grains is the "tithe" or tax. A hen can produce 20 chicks per year, a cow has



1 calf, one potato can yield 20 ... (you can check other things) Thus the 10% can only from the natural/divine come 'increase'. Money in any form, cannot grow nor increase, they merely create and print more as a debt and charge interest on it.

However

Wages are not 'increase' ... wages are payment for labour or



goods or a service, a contract/agreement between employer and employee, buyer and seller, and the agreed amount is nobody else's business !! ... be it done by money or barter. If the ATO (Australian Tax Office) were to acknowledge that "income"

is a vague word, and they dare not say "increase", because only primary producers (on land and sea) and backvard gardeners can obtain an 'increase'.



When you fill out your tax return, they demand you list your 'income'. They won't and can't tell you what "income" is, so they let you decide what you think your 'income' is, and when you've made that decision yourself, you dob yourself in, and by filing a tax return, you are voluntarily telling the ATO you accept their jurisdiction over you. There is then no

longer a technical question as to whether you are a taxpayer, all that remains to be determined is ... how much. You have just entered a contract with them and they are not even legitimate Government http://larryhannigan.com/ who owns the australian taxation office.htm

Every legitimate Act of Parliament carries an 'interpretation' section that spells out the meanings of key words and terms in the Act. In the Revenue Acts, most definitions are prefaced by the word 'means'. However, certain crucial words are not given an exact meaning. They state PERSON "Person: Includes a company and a local or public authority; and also includes an un incorporated body of persons." At no point does the Income Tax Act specify that the term 'person" includes a "natural person", which is their (false) terminology for an actual living and breathing man/woman. Nor does the Act even define "natural person" although it does define "natural gas". Yet the phrase "natural person"

is specifically referred to in the Income Tax Act under the definition of 'foreign entity' ... Yep, you are deemed to be a foreign entity! So why didn't the drafters of Australia's tax law to simply say: "Person: means a man/woman, and also includes a company etc."? Why doesn't the definition of "person" in the Income Tax Act specifically include 'natural persons' or human beings? And does this mean that any man/woman can legally and morally stop paying tax on the grounds that their legislation is unclear and therefore null and void? Besides, you are allegedly a foreign entity and isn't tithing supposed to be voluntary?

Curiously, and probably not coincidentally, the tax codes of New Zealand and Canada don't use the word 'means' to define a person. Both countries use 'includes'. Neither do those countries define a "person" as specifically including a 'natural person'. Could it be that they do know that there is no such thing as a 'natural person'? A 'person' is not a man/ woman, rather, it is a piece of paper known as your Birth Certificate which probably shows the date the nurse or whoever signed it ... not necessarily the actual date of your entry into life when you drew your first breath. There is no such thing as a 'natural person' only a flesh and blood man. http://larryhannigan.com/traffic 01.htm

Now you may understand Acts 10.34 ... God is no respecter of persons. and Romans 2.11 ... For there is no respect of Even the translators of KJV in 1611 persons with God.



munderstood the word ... 'person'. So was it an innocent oversight or a simple mistake by one legal hack in the Crown Law Office while drafting legislation? One could start to wonder, when three developed nations all have the same defini-

tions for 'person', with no mention of human beings. Tax researchers will argue ... surely, if those governments had the power to compel all humans to pay tax on their 'income' in the publicly understood sense of the word, then they would have plainly outlined this in their statutes?

The fact is - no man can make another man submit, except by full disclosure and consent ... (or else by force, or threat of force). "Every man is independent of all laws, except those prescribed by

nature. He is not bound by any institutions formed by his fellowman without his consent." [Cruden v. Neale, 2 N.C. (1796) 2 S.E. 70:

Subjection to laws must be by consent.

In the Tax Laws Amendment (2007 Measures No. 5) Act 2007 The Parliament of Australia enacts: bla bla Note - Gough's mob created 5 new Income Tax laws in 1973, all under the Queen of Australia (in a geographical sense) There is no such title as the Queen of Australia and NONE of those Acts are under the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1901 Proclaimed and Gazetted. Also, Australian 'money' today is counterfeit http://www.larryhannigan.com/demise of currency.htm

Indeed, it is a requirement in Australia at least, that where a Government moves to alter an existing Common Law right,



that such alterations must explicitly be contained in the legislation. If the Government intended to remove a 'natural person's' right to contract out their labour for a sum of money, surely it would be explicitly spelt out in the Income Tax Act.

Contrast the vague definition of "person" with the very precise definition of 'natural gas' in the Australian Income Tax Act, where it states - "Natural gas: means the gaseous mixtures of petroleum, in a stabilised form, which remain after the separation of crude oil or condensate from the wellstream in the production facilities and which have not been subjected to further processing."

It's nice to know the ATO can be so exact about what natural gas is, and yet fail to include 'natural persons' or humans or people in the definition of who is liable for tax. Nor does the Acts Interpretation Act (which tells courts how to interpret legislation) shed any light on the 'person' issue.



So when filing your Tax Return next time, you might tell them you had an INCREASE of ... 32 cabbages + 58 beetroot + 103 oranges + 57 eggs + 5 chickens + 5 budgerigars + 1 lettuce from your garden. Tell 'em that is your interpretation of the word INCOME (increase) So as a wage earner and

backyard gardener, ask the ATO where they want you to deliver the 3.2 cabbages + 5.8 beetroot + 10.3 oranges + 5.7 eggs + half a chicken + half a budgerigar + one tenth of your one lettuce.

IN-COME = it has COME-IN to being = come into existence from nature or God - (whatever you prefer) and NOT something willingly transferred or exchanged from one man or woman to another)



Deut 14.22 - Thou shalt truly (set aside a tenth) tithe of all the **increase** of thy seed, that the field brings forth year by year. You shall eat in the presence of the LORD your God, at the place where He chooses to establish His name, the tithe of your grain, your new wine, your oil, and the firstborn of

your herd and your flock, so that you may learn to fear the LORD your God always ...clear enough? ... all natural increase.



But (I hear ye cry) ... what about Matthew 22.20 Then saith he unto them, Render therefore unto Caesar the things which are Caesar's; and unto God the things that are God's. The ATO and your Local Council are not Caesar. Neither is an abn salaried pastor.

Those who bleat "waaa ... but how can we pay for roads and a multitude of other things ... should spend just an hour or two (if it's not too much bother) learning about the world and money and reclaiming a proper money system

from http://larryhannigan.com/earthplusvid.htm and http://larryhannigan.com/constitutionvideo.htm

It CAN be done under Section 51, and nothing much can nor will change until it IS done.

Now some whistle blowers suggest that the Criminal Banking Cartel will soon be History. It is a wonder they is still alive, The recent 19 banker 'suicides' are highly 'sus' eh ...

Then we read in Eph 6.12 For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world,

against spiritual wickedness in high places."

So who or what are the rulers of the darkness

After his recovery from the Damascus, event, Paul spent years study-

ing the only scriptures available ie The OT, In Paul's NT writings, there are dozens of direct and similar verses quoted from the Book of Enoch. eg Hebrews 11.1-6 v5 tells us "by faith Enoch was translated that he should not see death; and was not found, because God had translated him: for before his translation he had this testimony, that he pleased God." Note - "his **testimony**"

People who want to reject the Book of Enoch, (which tells of the 200 fallen angels who came to Earth with Satan after Adam and Eve's fall, and took human wives. e.g., 1 Enoch 6:2, 7:1, 12:4, 106:14, etc.) reject the Book of Enoch by claiming it is has one section in contradiction to the words of Yeshua (Jesus Christ) in Matthew 22:30, Jeshua said, "For in the resurrection, they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven." Jesus tells us there will be no males nor female nor sex in heaven. Why?

Angels have no need to procreate. The fallen angles are superior beings to us and possibly used some form of A.I. or genetic cloning, They could have transformed themselves into handsome men to attract the women. Genesis 6.2 also tells us that "the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose." The thoughts in Enoch clearly underlie and agree with the writings of the NT and early church fathers. No occult bookstores then!

The "jews" had just crucified the "son of man" this book spoke of. So it's certainly possible that this book was tossed out at this time to crush any thoughts of Jeshua actually being the Messiah. If Jude is quoting from it, then it must be important to Bibical teaching and our outlook for the future and end-time prophesy, especially future stuff that hasn't happened yet, would be very different and would make current church doctrine questionable ... le 'Secret rapture' to escape responsibility etc

If Enoch was worthy enough and chosen by God to be his scribe and if Noah and Jude referred to his books, then we should seriously take his books into account. Enoch also talks about those who exclude such information. and how there is a special hell for them.

Get on the winning side from 2nd Chronicles 7.14 ... If my people, which are called by my name, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land.

If you don't believe in the spiritual, then good luck!

PREPARE YOUR CHILD FOR TAX Larry Hannigan

Ask your son to mow the lawn and agree to pay him \$10. When he



completes the task and asks for his money, give him only \$6 and withhold \$4. Tell him this is called "income tax" and he must always share part of what he earns. Explain to your child, that his brother and sister are not working or don't like to work, but they need money too, so you give them \$1 each - (they will always support you for this). Tell him the other \$2 is for

your administration costs for sharing the money.

Place his \$6 in a bank account, and explain that you have authority over his bank account, which entitles you to remove half of any interest earned. Remind him that if he tries to hide any money from you, it will be evidence of him doing the wrong thing and you will be entitled then, to take all that money from his account. At this point you will also conduct random searches of his room by bursting in unannounced and going through his drawers, pockets, and cupboard. If he questions this and says it's unfair, tell him that you are acting on a tip-off from one of his friends, but you can't say which one. If you find any extra hidden money, confiscate it all as well as his skateboard and play station. Explain these are being withheld as punishment and he is confined to the bathroom for being "greedy and selfish".

Make up as many rules as possible, but tell him about only half of them. If he breaks a rule he didn't know about, tell him it is no ex-



cuse because ignorance of the rule is never an excuse. Make sure all the rules make an excuse. Make sure all the rules make little or no sense and some are contradictory. For instance, tell your son that bed time is 9pm, but send him to bed at 8pm. When 3. You can't... he tries to explain that you have broken the 4. YOU CAN'T rules, tell him that you have the right to vary or interpret the rules in any way you like to

ensure peace in the house. When he complains, order him to the bathroom again, for his own good, to think about his disobedience.

Promise to take him to the movies, but when he reminds you it's time to go, tell him that his brother and sister need more money now, so you can't afford to take him. Explain that your promise was not a "core" promise and therefore doesn't count.

Appoint his brother or sister to chastise him without notice and without reason if they feel like it, and explain they are appointed to



protect the peace. Tell him they are immune from getting into trouble regardless of what they do, because they are authorised by you and he must address them as "authorised officers". If your son decides to resist and rebel against your rules, tell him that you and the rest of the fami-

ly can use force if they think it is necessary. Explain this must be done to keep the peace and is well within the rules.

Mark a spot in the back yard where he can put his bike. If he puts it anywhere else, or not exactly within that spot, fine him \$10 and take away his bike until he pays the fine. If he doesn't pay, sell the bike, keep the money and lock him in the bathroom again.

For his "own protection" install a camera in his room and tape all his phone calls. Remind him, this is only a precaution to keep the peace. You may tell your son lies if you think it's necessary. Explain that you just don't have much time for him because you have greater responsibilities running the house, even though you spend much of your time in your air-conditioned office, thinking up new rules. Enforcing the new rules will give his brother and sister something to do.

Tell his school teachers that they should not give him extra marks or credit for good work. They should be given to other kids who don't perform well, or are members of an ethnic minority. If he complains, accuse him of being "racist" and that he should say "sorry" to them and give them money.

Ban cutlery from the home, and tell him this was done due to the fact that you heard about a boy his age, who once stabbed somebody with a fork. However, allow his brothers and sisters to have cutlery because they are "authorised officers" and their rules are different. If he



protests about not being allowed to have cutlery, question him severely about who he "intends to stab with a fork and why".

Issue him with a card with his name and photo on it. Tell him he can't go anywhere without it. If he tries to enter the house

without using the card, send him to his room and make him strip down while his brothers and sisters watch, apply humiliation and explain this is being done, not to embarrass him, but to protect the whole household, just in case he might be carrying a fork. Always ask where he



has been and why. At any time, ask him how much money he has, Confiscate any money above a preset limit. If he protests, confiscate all his money and search his room, then confiscate everything he owns and lock him in the bathroom. When he asks "what's going on?",

explain to him that ... "you are only here to help him".

Footnote: This is a joke, do not do this to any child (there will be time enough for this to occur later in life) - it is clearly cruel and inhumane treatment and can't be condoned. It violates your son's basic human rights to enjoy the rewards of his efforts. The only people who violate this human right is, of course ... "You Know Who".